Correlations between South African Red Grape and Wine Colour and Phenolic Composition: Comparing the Glories, Iland and Bovine Serum Albumin Tannin Precipitation Methods
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Phenolic compounds in red grapes might give an indication of phenolic and colour compositions of the resulting wine. This work compared the Glories, Iland and Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) tannin precipitation methods for phenolic characterization of South African Pinotage, Merlot, Shiraz and Cabernet Sauvignon red grape samples (n=31). Significant positive correlations were found for certain phenolic characteristics in the grapes measured by these methods. Levels of phenolic compounds in the grapes and correlating wines were in line with literature. Merlot samples often associated more with higher concentrations of seed tannins, which were also reflected in the wines. Significant correlations were also found with the colour characteristics of the resulting wines and some anthocyanin related measurements in the grapes with the Glories and Iland methods, with the latter correlating slightly better. Significant positive correlations were also found between grape and wine tannins as measured with the BSA method. However, malolactic fermentation changed some of these correlations and this needs to be investigated further. This work might give wine producers as well as wine analyses laboratories valuable information regarding the suitability of these methods to characterize the phenolic composition of South African red grapes and their resulting wines.

INTRODUCTION

Phenolic compounds originating from grapes, especially condensed tannins and anthocyanins, play a critical role in the colour, astringency, mouth feel and general quality of red wines (Rossouw & Marais, 2004; Du Toit et al., 2006b). Anthocyanins are primarily responsible for the colour of young red wines and are found in the vacuoles of grape skin cells, while tannins are mostly present in the grape skins and seeds. During maceration and fermentation, anthocyanins and condensed tannins are extracted, leading to an increase in the anthocyanin content, colour density and tannin concentration and total phenolics of red wines (Romero-Cascales et al., 2005; Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2006; Jensen et al., 2008). Anthocyanin and condensed tannin concentrations in grapes depend on many factors, such as cultivar, terrior, viticulture practices and ripening stage. It is thus important for the wine producer to be able to assess the anthocyanin, condensed tannin and total phenol concentrations in grapes and have an idea how they would reflect in the corresponding wine (De Freitas et al., 2000; Gonzalez-Neves et al., 2004; Celotti & Carcereri De Prati, 2005; De Beer et al., 2006; Fournand et al., 2006; Downey et al., 2007; Cagnasso et al., 2008; Jensen et al., 2008).
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2008; Geldenhuys, 2009). Little data has been published on
this field, especially under South African conditions. The
main aim of this study was to assess the anthocyanin, tannin
and total phenolic composition of different South African
red musts with the Glories, Iland and BSA methods and
to compare how well these parameters correspond in the
resulting wines after alcoholic and malolactic fermentation.
An additional aim was to assess the effect of increasing
the pH in the extractability index of anthocyanins with the
Glories method.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
Chemicals used
Hydrochloric acid (1M, 32% HCl, Merck), Sodium
hydroxide (Merck), glacial acetic acid (Merck), sodium
chloride (Saarchem), potassium tartrate (Sigma Aldrich),
ethanol (Absolute Ethanol, Merck), triethanolamine (Sigma
Aldrich), Sodium dodecyl sulphate (Sigma Aldrich), iron
chloride (Radchem), sodium sulphate (Merck), bovine
serum albumin (Sigma), catechin (Sigma), acetaldehyde
(Merck), sodiummetabisulphide (Radchem), L(+) tartaric
acid (Merck). All pH adjustments of the buffers for the
BSA method were done using either 1M hydrochloric acid
(Merck) or 1M sodium hydroxide (Merck).
Grape samples used
The four red cultivars most widely planted in South Africa,
Pinotage (n=8), Merlot (n=10), Shiraz (n=5) and Cabernet
Sauvignon (n=7), were used in this study during the 2010
vintage. Thirty one grape samples (20kg each) were received
from different vineyards in the Stellenbosch, Robertson,
Rawsonville, Durbanville, Somerset-West, Franschoek and
Hermanus districts of the Western Cape, South Africa. Grapes
were picked at commercial harvest and were transported in
plastic crates to the experimental cellar of the Department of
Viticulture and Oenology, Stellenbosch University. Grapes
were stored overnight at 4°C and 250 representative berries
were collected the next morning from each crate for analysis.
The vinification process was started on the same day.
Winemaking
Grapes were crushed, destemmed and 30 mg/L SO₂ from a
2.5% SO₂ solution was added to the must. The total soluble
solids of each sample were determined with a Balling meter
according to Iland (2004). The total acidity and pH were
determined with the Metrohm titration unit (Metrohm Ltd.,
Switzerland). When the total acidity of the musts were not
measuring 6.0 g/L, it was adjusted to this acidity using
tartaric acid (Natural L(+) Tartaric acid, Bren-O-Kem (PTY)
LTD, Wolseley, South Africa). After an hour the musts were
inoculated with Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain NT116
at 0.3 g/L according to the supplier’s recommendations
(Anchor Yeast Biotechnologies). The following day 0.5 g/L
diammoniumphosphate was added. Every day, the skins and
juice were mixed three times by punching down to facilitate
phenolic and colour extraction. All alcoholic fermentations
were performed at a temperature of 23 °C in 20 L food grade
plastic buckets until the sugar concentrations reached 0 °B.
The skins were then separated from the wine and pressed in
an open basket press to a pressure of 0.5 bar. The pressed
wine and free run wine was then mixed in 4.5 L glass bottles
with airlocks and inoculated with Viniflora Oenos (CHR-
Hansen, Lake International Technologies, South Africa)
starter cultures for malolactic fermentation (MLF) at 10⁶ cfu/
ml as prescribed by the supplier. Malic acid concentrations
were monitored with a GrapeScan FT 120 instrument
(Foss Electric, Denmark) (Nieuwoudt et al., 2004). This
instrument utilisres Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy
(FT-IR). All samples were degassed by filtration before the
analysis, using the Filtration Unit (type 70500, Foss Electric,
Denmark) with filter paper circles graded at 20-25 μm and
with a diameter of 185 mm. MLF was considered completed
when the malic acid concentrations reached < 0.3 g/L.

Grape extraction procedures and grape and wine
phenolic and colour analyses
The repeatability of the Glories and Iland methods were
tested by performing each analysis three times on the same
2010 Merlot and Shiraz grape samples. This was done in
the same way as those performed on the 31 grape samples
described in the following section.
Before destemming, 250 berries were representatively
picked from each crate and mixed thoroughly in a plastic
bag. One hundred berries were then taken from the bag
and phenolic and colour characteristics determined according
the Glories index (Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2006). The
remainder of the berry sample was frozen at -20 °C for later
analyses with the Iland and BSA methods. For the Glories
method, the grape sample, including the skins and seeds,
was homogenized for 4 min at 24 000 rpm (IKA T18 Ultra
Terrax homogenizer) and two 25 g samples of the resulting
paste added to solutions with a pH of 1 (using 0.1M HCl)
and 3.2 (using 0.034 M tartaric acid) respectively. The first is
used to measure the total anthocyanins in a low pH medium,
while the second is used to measure extractable anthocyanins
under wine conditions. The samples were then extracted for
4 h at room temperature, filtered through glass wool and used
in a Glories method analyses. The effect of changing the pH
of extractable anthocyanins was also investigated and this
analysis were performed at both 3.2 and 3.6.
The Glories method was adjusted slightly by calculating
the extractability index of anthocyanins in the grapes (EAG)
with the following formula: EAG = [1-(A1G-A3.2G/A1G)].
For the Iland and BSA methods, within 3 months of being frozen, the grapes were allowed to thaw and 50 berries homogenized in the same manner as described above. One gram of the paste was then added to each of a 50% ethanol solution (BSA method) and a 50% ethanol solution with pH 2 (adjusted with HCl) (Iland method) and left for 1 h at room temperature. After an hour a supernatant was obtained by centrifuging the homogenate at 3500 rpm for 5 min. In the case of the BSA method this supernatant was used to determine the tannin levels in the grapes homogenate Habertson et al., (2008). The BSA method was performed in duplicate on each sample. In the case of the Iland method, 1 mL of this supernatant was then further diluted with 10 mL 1M HCl and left at room temperature before the analysis were performed Iland (2004).

Fifty milliliters of wine was drawn after alcoholic fermentation (AF) and MLF and frozen at -20 °C for later analyses. The wines were all analysed within 3 months of freezing. Samples were thawed and centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 1 min to remove solids. The supernatant was then used in a range of phenolic, colour and the BSA analyses. Different spectrophotometric analyses were conducted with a spectrophotometer according to Boulton (2001) and Iland et al. (2004). These included wine colour density, modified wine colour density (the modified version of the analysis negates the effect of pH and SO 2 on the analysis), total red pigments, total phenolics, estimate of SO 2 resistant pigments and co-pigmented anthocyanins. Total anthocyanin and tannins concentrations in the wines were determined according to Ribéreau-Gayon et al. (2006) and Habertson et al. (2008) respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Intraclass correlations (ICC) were used to compare selected BSA repeats and the Glories determination at pH 3.2 and 3.6. Comparisons of the 4 cultivars were done using one-way ANOVA and Fisher LSD post hoc tests. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and PCA biplots were used to graphically display groupings of wines samples relative to the measurements made on them. Spearman correlations were used to test for relationships between different measurements.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The different compounds which were analysed and their abbreviations are listed in Table 1 for both the grapes and wines.

**Repeatability of the methods**

The average, standard deviation and coefficient of variance values for three repeat analyses of Merlot and Shiraz samples were determined (results not shown). Coefficient of variance values were in most cases lower than 5% for the characteristics analyzed with these two methods, except for TPG which was 8%. This indicates good repeatability with the Glories and Iland methods. The Interclass correlation (ICC) value for the BSA method performed on grapes was also established and found to be 0.97 for 9 randomly chosen samples, indicating the repeatability of the method.

**Effect of changing the pH in the Glories method**

The extractable anthocyanins in grapes (A3.2G) as determined with the Glories method was originally performed at pH 3.2. It is well known that pH levels in red grapes from hotter countries may be higher, with pH 3.6 at harvest not being uncommon. Romero-Cascales et al. (2005) changed the pH of this medium to 3.6, which they claimed is better suited to musts originating from the Jumilla region in Spain, but they did not compare it the same medium at pH 3.2. This study investigated the effect of performing the Glories method (extractable anthocyanins) at both pH 3.2 and 3.6. The ICC values of TPIG, EAG, A1G, A3.2G, SKTG, SeTG and Mp%G were 0.89, 0.89, 0.99, 0.96, 0.96, 0.76 and 0.83, respectively. This indicates that the values obtained at either pH 3.2 or 3.6 were very close and that increasing the pH to 3.6 did not play a significant role. The following reported data was only obtained at pH 3.2.

### Table 1

Analyses and abbreviations used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic analysed</th>
<th>Abbreviation used in text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glories (grapes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenols</td>
<td>TPIG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractability index of anthocyanins</td>
<td>EAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total anthocyanins</td>
<td>A1G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractable anthocyanins</td>
<td>A3.2G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin tannins</td>
<td>SKTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed tannins</td>
<td>SeTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of seeds to phenols</td>
<td>Mp%G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BSA tannin index (grapes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins precipitated by BSA</td>
<td>BSAg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>AI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iland (grapes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenols</td>
<td>TPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phenols</td>
<td>TP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total red pigments</td>
<td>TRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour density</td>
<td>CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified colour density</td>
<td>MCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-pigmented anthocyanins</td>
<td>CP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2 resistant pigments</td>
<td>SO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannins precipitated by BSA</td>
<td>BSA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a and b next to wine abbreviations in text indicates samples drawn after AF and MLF, respectively.

Colour and phenolic composition of grapes

The average soluble solids, pH and total acidity of the grapes samples can be seen in Table 2. In terms of sugar level, only the Pinotage and Cabernet Sauvignon were significantly different from the other. In general, levels of phenolic compounds were in line with those found by other studies on red wine grapes (Gonzáles-Neves et al., 2004; Romero-Cascales et al., 2005; Cagnasso et al., 2008; Jensen et al., 2008; Fragoso et al., 2010). One way ANOVA analysis on phenolic and anthocyanins on the grapes, did not yield significant differences between the cultivars, except for EAG, SeTG and Mp%G. The extractability of anthocyanins was significantly higher in Cabernet Sauvignon grapes than in the other three cultivars. Seed tannins and the contribution of seeds to the total phenolic content were significantly higher in Merlot grape samples than in Pinotage, Shiraz and Cabernet Sauvignon. This can also be seen in Fig. 1. The contribution of seeds to the total phenolic content findings were similar to those of Gonzáles-Neves et al., (2004) for Merlot and Cabernet Sauvignon, but lower than those found by Cagnasso et al., (2008), who worked on Barbera, Dolcetto and Nebbiolo. High values of seed tannins could be undesired in certain red wines, as these tannins could cause increases in astringency. They also tend to associate with proteins and polysaccharides instead of stabilizing anthocyanins (Gonzáles-Neves et al., 2004). Romero-Cascales et al. (2005) and Gonzáles-Neves et al. (2004) also found significantly higher values for this index in Merlot than in Cabernet Sauvignon and Shiraz, which correlated with the findings of this study.

From Fig. 1 it can also be seen that A1G, SkTG, A3.2G and Al correlated closely. Skin tannins and anthocyanins are extracted under similar conditions, leading to extracts with high levels of both these compounds (Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2006). Certain grape samples, such as C6, P1 and M1 had higher levels of A1G, SkTG, A3.2G and Al, with the latter sample having lower levels of SeTG and Mp%G. However, no clear separation between the cultivars could be observed, which is probably due to the diversity in the origins of the different cultivars, with many factors including terrior, viticultural treatments and ripeness level affecting the phenolic composition of grapes (De Beer et al., 2006; Fournand et al., 2006; Ristic et al., 2007).

Correlations between Glories, Iland and BSA methods in grapes

The Glories and Iland extraction methods are often used in South Africa to assess the phenolic composition of red grapes during ripening and harvest time. This study correlated these two methods with each other and with the BSA method on phenolic composition of grape extracts. The correlations coefficient between the different phenolic characteristics measured with these methods are presented in Table 3. TPIG had weak, but significant correlations with Tanng, Al and TPI, whereas the same was true for the correlation of TPI with Tanng. TPIG basically measures all phenolics at 280nm, the same wavelength at which TPI is also measured. Condensed tannins precipitated by BSA (Tanng) would thus also contribute to total phenolics, but does not measure anthocyanins, which also contribute to the absorption values at 280nm. The low correlation between TPIG and TPI could be due to different extraction times and the fact that the Iland method extracts more phenolics by employing ethanol compared to the Glories method, which extracts only more
### TABLE 2
Cultivars and characteristics of the grapes used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Soluble solids (°Balling)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Total acidity (g/L)</th>
<th>TPiG (AU/L)</th>
<th>EAG</th>
<th>A1G (mg/L)</th>
<th>A3.2G (mg/L)</th>
<th>SeTG</th>
<th>Mp%G</th>
<th>TPI (AU/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet Sauvignon</td>
<td>24.1±1.1( ^{a} )</td>
<td>3.55±0.20( ^{b} )</td>
<td>7.10±0.90( ^{a} )</td>
<td>47.37±7.24( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.77±0.12( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1052.2±455.37( ^{a} )</td>
<td>69.80±216.86( ^{a} )</td>
<td>16.65±6.52( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.16±0.03( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1.02±0.37( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merlot</td>
<td>24.7±1.8( ^{a} )</td>
<td>3.53±0.25( ^{b} )</td>
<td>4.85±0.89( ^{a} )</td>
<td>55.40±6.89( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.56±0.13( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1292.7±293.13( ^{a} )</td>
<td>70.90±216.86( ^{a} )</td>
<td>27.01±7.60( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.22±0.03( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1.04±0.26( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinotage</td>
<td>26.1±1.6( ^{a} )</td>
<td>3.44±0.17( ^{b} )</td>
<td>6.16±0.73( ^{a} )</td>
<td>54.75±10.86( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.57±0.04( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1405.4±553.34( ^{a} )</td>
<td>78.72±278.30( ^{a} )</td>
<td>23.52±8.49( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.21±0.05( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1.15±0.31( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>25.1±1.3( ^{a} )</td>
<td>3.72±0.22( ^{a} )</td>
<td>4.91±0.60( ^{a} )</td>
<td>47.23±11.64( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.60±0.09( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1211.2±396.32( ^{a} )</td>
<td>713.7±198.80( ^{a} )</td>
<td>18.69±5.43( ^{a} )</td>
<td>0.17±0.05( ^{a} )</td>
<td>1.32±0.36( ^{a} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters indicates significance at \( p<0.05 \)

### TABLE 3
Correlation coefficients (r) between phenolic characteristics analysed with the Glories, Iland and BSA methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Glories or BSA</th>
<th>BSA or Iland</th>
<th>r</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.49*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1G</td>
<td>0.46*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>0.39*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.4*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1G</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.49*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1G</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.2G</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKTG</td>
<td>0.64*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1G</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3.2G</td>
<td>0.73*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.4*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1G</td>
<td>0.75*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>0.37*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAG%</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TpIg</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates significance at \( p<0.05 \)

### TABLE 4
Average values of phenolic and colour characteristics of different wines after alcoholic fermentation produced from grape cultivars used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar:</th>
<th>TPa (AU at 280nm)</th>
<th>TRPa (AU 520nm)</th>
<th>CDa *</th>
<th>MCDa *</th>
<th>Aa (mg/L)</th>
<th>CPa (AU 520nm)</th>
<th>SO2a (AU 520nm)</th>
<th>Tann (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabernet Sauvignon</td>
<td>45.74±8.50*</td>
<td>29.87±6.92*</td>
<td>14.70±4.42*</td>
<td>14.83±3.99*</td>
<td>69.02±133.96*</td>
<td>0.68±0.17*</td>
<td>3.74±0.90*</td>
<td>445.3±152.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merlot</td>
<td>45.85±11.07*</td>
<td>27.88±6.92*</td>
<td>16.21±4.68*</td>
<td>14.10±3.97*</td>
<td>657.48±160.87*</td>
<td>0.62±0.17*</td>
<td>2.74±1.13*</td>
<td>430.50±168.43*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinotage</td>
<td>49.49±6.57*</td>
<td>31.94±5.32*</td>
<td>13.46±4.38*</td>
<td>13.79±3.32*</td>
<td>784.14±134.41*</td>
<td>0.66±0.14*</td>
<td>2.35±0.56*</td>
<td>520.73±138.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiraz</td>
<td>52.18±4.46*</td>
<td>33.84±5.22*</td>
<td>17.03±4.76*</td>
<td>16.32±4.32*</td>
<td>816.23±145.01*</td>
<td>0.69±0.17*</td>
<td>2.80±0.59*</td>
<td>475.89±74.20*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sum of AU at 420, 520 and 620nm. Different letters indicates significance at \( p<0.05 \)

Easily extractable phenolics (Fragoso et al., 2010).
TABLE 5
Average values of phenolic and colour characteristics of different wines after malolactic fermentation produced from grape cultivars used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar:</th>
<th>Cabernet Sauvignon</th>
<th>Merlot</th>
<th>Pinotage</th>
<th>Shiraz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TPa (AU at 280nm)</td>
<td>41.70±7.11a</td>
<td>39.69±7.38b</td>
<td>47.60±4.72a</td>
<td>47.81±2.90a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPa (AU 520nm)</td>
<td>22.94±4.80bc</td>
<td>19.39±3.04d</td>
<td>27.14±4.09a</td>
<td>26.26±3.94ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDa *</td>
<td>10.59±2.33a</td>
<td>10.51±2.21a</td>
<td>10.78±3.79a</td>
<td>11.64±2.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCDa *</td>
<td>9.73±2.17a</td>
<td>9.73±2.76a</td>
<td>10.84±2.85a</td>
<td>11.26±2.03a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aa (mg/L)</td>
<td>515.70±115.34a</td>
<td>436.37±55.83c</td>
<td>650.35±96.76a</td>
<td>605.95±100.93ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA (AU 520nm)</td>
<td>0.53±0.14bc</td>
<td>0.52±0.11f</td>
<td>0.68±0.18e</td>
<td>0.63±0.13h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO2a (AU 520nm)</td>
<td>3.29±0.71a</td>
<td>3.10±1.36a</td>
<td>2.88±1.17a</td>
<td>3.13±0.30a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannin mg/L</td>
<td>260.01±159.28a</td>
<td>272.66±166.73a</td>
<td>408.99±123.39a</td>
<td>345.44±110.33a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Sum of AU at 420, 520 and 620nm. Different letters indicates significance at p<0.05

Colour and phenolic composition of wine
The colour and phenolic composition of the different cultivar wines after alcoholic fermentation and malolactic fermentation respectively can be seen in Tables 4 and 5. In general values were in range of other studies that investigated the phenolic and colour composition of wines made from the same cultivars (De Beer et al., 2004; Gonzáles-Neves et al., 2004; Du Toit et al., 2006a; Ristic et al., 2007; Versari et al., 2007; Habertson et al., 2008). One way ANOVA did not indicate significant differences between the different wines just after AF, except for Aa which was significantly higher in Shiraz than in Merlot. SO2a was also significantly higher in Cabernet Sauvignon wines than in Merlot and Pinotage (Table 4). PCA on the wine data AF did not show clear trends in terms of cultivars, with PC 1 and 2 explaining 82% of the variance. (Fig 2a). However, the loadings indicated positive correlation between TRPa, Aa, CDa, MCDa and TPa, which were negatively correlated with Tannin (Figure 2b). This is not surprising, as most of the characteristics that correlated positively, measured characteristics related to the colour of red wine.

More significant differences were observed between the cultivars after MLF. After MLF TP, TRP, A and CP in Merlot wines were significantly lower than in the wines from the other three cultivars. Gonzáles-Neves et al. (2004) and Romero-Cascales et al. (2005) found Cabernet Sauvignon and Shiraz wines to have significantly higher anthocyanin levels than Merlot wines, which also correlated with the results of this study. In general, most phenolic and colour characteristics was lower after MLF and it is known that MLF can affect the colour and phenolic characteristics of red wines (Guadalupe & Ayestaran, 2008; Geldenhuys, 2009). PCA on the wine data MLF also indicated trends in cultivar separation, with PC 1 and 2 explaining 83% of the variance (Figure 3a). Most Merlot wines were correlated with Tannin, while one Merlot wine, M1, again correlated with higher colour measurements, such as MCDb, CDb and CPb (Figure 3b). M1 grapes displayed higher colour characteristics when compared to the other Merlot samples, which were followed through during AF and MLF. This study did not observe such a clear separation for the other cultivar wines.

Correlations between grape and wine phenolic characteristics
In Table 6 the correlations coefficients (r) between grape (Glories, Iland and BSA methods) and wine characteristics of A1G (Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2006). Ethanol in the case of the Iland method is also an efficient extraction medium, leading to a high efficiency of anthocyanin extraction (Downey et al., 2007). Fragoso et al. (2010) also found high correlations between anthocyanin concentrations obtained with the Glories and Iland methods.
can be seen. Strong, positive correlations were observed between AI and CDa, MCDa and MCDb. A1G and A3.2G were also significantly correlated with these wine parameters, but to a slightly lower extent. TRP, A and CP which basically measures red pigments at a low pH, free anthocyanins and co-pigmentation in wine, were also better correlated with AI than A1G and A3.2G. This could be due to the fact that the Iland measurements were performed from extracts obtained with an ethanol extraction, which could simulate an alcoholic fermentation better than an acidified based extract as is the case with the Glories measurements. CDa, CDb, MCDa, MCDb also showed significant correlations with TPI, TPIG and SkTG. TPI and TPIG measures all phenolic compounds, including anthocyanins, which are primarily responsible for colour characteristics in red wine.

The EA, being an indication of the extraction of anthocyanins by taking into account the total anthocyanins (A1G) and anthocyanin extractable under winemaking conditions (A3.2G), should thus correlate with anthocyanin and colour levels in the wine. Interesting enough this study did not find any significant correlation between EA and most colour characteristics of the wine. This could be due to the fact that all the grape samples were harvested during commercial harvest and had relative high sugar levels, which led to a high level of extractability in all the grape samples, irrespective of the total anthocyanins available in the grapes. According to Roedinger (2011) an EA value of around 0.6 or higher is considered a good level for extraction. EA thus seems to fulfill a secondary role in anthocyanin extraction and it is thus debatable how effective the EA index is in predicting total extractability of anthocyanins from ripe grapes. However, it may still provide information on how quickly anthocyanins may extracted during skin contact of wine fermentations (Cagnasso et al., 2008).

Correlation between SO2 resistant pigments after MLF (SO2 b) with AI, A1G and A3.2G was much better than with those same wines just after AF (SO2 a). This measurement gives an idea of polymerisation of anthocyanins. Anthocyanins involved in polymerisation reactions are less prone to SO2 bleaching and colour changes due to pH changes. The bisulfite

FIGURE 2b

FIGURE 3a
ion, which decolourises the anthocyanin flavilium molecule, cannot associate that easily with the polymerisation product due to steric hindrance. During MLF more anthocyanins are incorporated into a polymeric pigmented form, which is normally present at very low concentrations in grapes (Du Toit et al., 2006a; Geldenhuys, 2009). This could explain the increased correlation of SO2 b with anthocyanin levels in the grapes, but needs further investigation, as normally very little such pigments exists in grapes.

SeTG showed positive correlations with Tanna and Tannb, due to the seeds' large contribution to the tannin composition of red wines (Busse-Valverde et al., 2011). However, it was negatively correlated with most colour characteristics, which is due to the seeds becoming riper, leading to a decrease in seed tannin's contribution to wine as anthocyanins accumulate during ripening (Ribéreau-Gayon et al., 2006; Cagnosso et al., 2008). Tanna and Tannb correlated significantly with Tanng and the correlation coefficient value was basically the same than those found by Jensen et al. (2008) for a similar comparison between grapes and wines. TPa correlated significantly with TPI, TPIG, SkTG and Mp%, but MLF negated these significant correlations.

The question thus arises which of the Glories or Iland methods are better to measure and predict the phenolics and colour indicative compounds in grapes that will be present in the resulting wines in a commercial cellar where expensive equipment such as HPLC is not always available. According to our findings the Iland method yielded slightly better correlations with most of the resulting wine data. However, the Glories method yields additional information, such as skin tannins and the contribution of seed tannins to the total phenolic profile of the wine. Other aspects such as chemicals required, waste generated and time should also be kept in mind when one of these two methods are considered.
CONCLUSIONS

This study represents the first time in South Africa where these types of method comparisons were made, including for Pinotage, which was bred in South Africa. This study has shown that the pH of the Glories wine simulated extraction media does not have to be adjusted to South African pH conditions. Although no clear cut differences in terms of the phenolic and colour compositions of the cultivars have been observed, Merlot grape samples had higher levels of seed tannins according to the Glories methods and this was also reflected to some degree in the resulting wines, where Merlot wines associated more with tannins according to PCA. This should be kept in mind by wine producers, as certain seed tannins may yield harsh, astringent red wines. The BSA tannin analysis method showed potential to assess grape tannin levels and how they are reflected in the wine. Both the Glories and Iland methods showed significant positive correlation between grape and wine phenolic characteristics, although this work is preliminary and further research needs to be conducted. This study showed that correlations between grapes and wines needs to be assessed not only after AF, but should take MLF and, in future, ageing of wine in barrel into account. Future work should also compare these methods with more advanced analytical techniques such as HPLC to assess correlation between grapes and wines. Other future research could focus on a specific region and also take the variability of vintages into account.

LITERATURE CITED


Fragoso, S., Mestres, M., Busto, O. & Guasch, J., 2010. Comparison of three extraction methods used to evaluate phenolic ripening in red grapes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 4071-4076.


